

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

JOYCE A. EVERETTE,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
	§	
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:17-0024-N	ИGL
	§	
NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting	§	
Commissioner of Social Security,	§	
Defendant.	§	

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND REVERSING AND REMANDING THE CASE TO DEFENDANT FOR FURTHER ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS

This is a Social Security appeal in which Plaintiff seeks judicial review of the final decision of Defendant denying her claims for Disability Insurance Benefits and Supplemental Security Income. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting to the Court the case be reversed and remanded to Defendant for further administrative proceedings. The Magistrate Judge filed the Report in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may

accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or

recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on January 18, 2018, and Defendant filed a reply on

February 1, 2018, stating she would not be filing any objections to the Report. "[I]n the absence of

a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only

satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the

recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005)

(quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). Moreover, a failure to object waives

appellate review. Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set

forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment

of the Court this case is **REVERSED AND REMANDED** to Defendant for further administrative

proceedings as set forth in the Report.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 2nd day of February, 2018, in Columbia, South Carolina.

s/ Mary Geiger Lewis

MARY GEIGER LEWIS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2